

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				<u> </u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,708	08/20/2003	Lee Eric Kilmer	1285.004US2	8442
21186 7590 05/02/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			WU, YICUN	
MINNEAPOL	18, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		2165		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· . ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/645,708	KILMER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Yicun Wu	2165
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donesions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			•
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>rce file</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 16 and 18-31 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 16 and 18-25 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 26, 28-29 and 31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 27 and 30 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
			n.
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/645,708

Art Unit: 2165

Page 2

III. DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 16, 18-31 are presented for examination.

Response to Applicant' Remarks

2. In response to Applicants Amendments and remarks, Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 101 are hereby withdrawn.

(1) . Applicant argues: "Cazemier does not teach following elements as taught by Applicant and claims 16 and 17-31: (1) a data structure which models an OLAP query in an abstract form compatible with several different OLAP query formats; and (2) a programming interface generating an OLAP query statement in different OLAP structured query formats as pecified by a query object model."

Examiner disagree. Examiner asserts that Applicant did not claim these features in Applicant's claims 26-31, therefore, The 102 rejection is maintained. And claims 16, 18-25 as amended has been allowed.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 27 and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 27 and 30 contains trademark information.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 26, 28-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Cazemier et al., (U. S. Patent No. 6,609,123).

As to claim 26, <u>Cazemier et al.</u> discloses an OLAP query generation engine, executing in a computer, for use with an OLAP query and reporting application that supports a first OLAP server using a first structured query format and supports a second OLAP server using a second structured query format, the engine comprising:

an object model (i.e. metadata model. Col. 3, lines 50-60) including a data structure (fig. 2) that models an OLAP query (fig. 10); and

a programming interface operating on a computer for generating an OLAP query statement (i.e. query specification interface. Col. 3, lines, 50-60) according to the first structured query format when the first structured query format (i.e. data source query. Col. 3, lines, 50-60) is specified by the object model and according to the second structured query format when the second structured query format is specified (i.e. based on the model objects. Col. 3, lines, 50-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,708

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 28, <u>Cazemier et al</u>. discloses an engine, wherein the query and reporting application supports first, second and third OLAP servers using first, second and third structured query formats, and the programming interface generates the query statement using the first, second and third structured query formats when the first, second and third structured query formats are specified (i.e. The above embodiments were described using SQL. However, the present invention may use other equivalent language that supported by data source providers (e.g. PowerPlay cubes, which are accessible through MDX). Col. 67, lines 10-15).

As to claim 29, <u>Cazemier et al</u>. discloses a computer-implemented method of generating an OLAP query using a query object capable of supporting a plurality of OLAP servers, each of the OLAP servers using a different structured query format, the method comprising:

determining, based upon a property of the query object, an OLAP server from among the plurality of OLAP servers (i.e. data source query. Col. 3, lines, 50-60 and fig. 3 and 10); and

processing the query object in a computer to generate a query statement (i.e. query specification interface. Col. 3, lines, 50-60) using the structured query format corresponding to the OLAP server determined (i.e. based on the model objects. Col. 3, lines, 50-60).

As to claim 31, <u>Cazemier et al</u>. discloses a method, wherein the query object supports at least three OLAP servers which each uses a different structured query format, and the processing generates the query statement using one of the at least three formats (i.e. The above embodiments were described using SQL. However, the present invention may use other

Art Unit: 2165

equivalent language that supported by data source providers (e.g. PowerPlay cubes, which are accessible through MDX). Col. 67, lines 10-15).

Allowable subject Matter

5. Claims 16, 18-25 are allowed over the prior art made of record.

Claims 27 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections made above and in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record (<u>Cazemier et al.</u>, (U. S. Patent No. 6,609,123) and <u>Malloy et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,122,636)) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in</u> combination with all other features in the claims):

wherein the query and reporting application supports a Microsoft Analysis Services

OLAP server using the MDX query format and an Hyperion Essbase OLAP server using the RS

query format, and the programming interface generates an MDX query statement and an RS

query statement when the object model specifies the MDX query format and the RS query

format, respectively, as claimed in claims 27 and 30.

Art Unit: 2165

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yicun Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-4087. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm, Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Yicun Wu

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

April 26, 2007